

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/970,547	10/03/2001	Glen Jorgensen	18405-115	1371
	7590 10/28/2004		EXAMINER	
MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS, GLOVSKY AND POPEO, P.C.			SORKIN, DAVID L	
ONE FINANC	CIAL CENTER		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
BOSTON, MA	A 02111		1723	
			DATE MAILED: 10/28/2004	.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summers	09/970,547	JORGENSEN ET AL.	JORGENSEN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	David L. Sorkin	1723		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature and the period for reply will, by stature and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communicati	ion.	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 (October 2004			
a	s action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matte	ers prosperation as to the mosts:	: <u>.</u>	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	is	
Disposition of Claims		1, 132 0.0.210.		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16, 18, 19, 35 and 36</u> is/are pendir	og in the englishting			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	ig in the application.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	iwn from consideration.			
_	.	•		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16, 18, 19,35 and 36</u> is/are rejected 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	0.			
, is a objected to.	and a re	*		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	÷ 1		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyanc	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CER 1 121/	4)	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	- <i>)</i> .	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(a)-(d) or (f).		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Apr	olication No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been re	eceived in this National Stage		
application from the International Bureau	I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not re	ceived.		
Attachment(s)				
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sun	mary (PTO 412)		
2) U Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/N	/ail Date		
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) L Notice of Info	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
5. Palent and Trademark Office	6)			

Art Unit: 1723

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 01 October 2004 has been entered.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 1-16, 18, 19, 35 and 36 are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) which require that "The claim or claims must conform to the invention as set forth in the remainder of the and the terms and phrases used in the claims must find clear support and antecedent basis in the description so that the meaning of the terms in the claims may be ascertainable by reference to the description". Specifically, references to passages being "axially aligned", "dedicated", and "dedicated for" are not founded in the specification. Applicant must appropriately amend the specification and/or claims without adding new matter to comply with 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/970,547

Art Unit: 1723

4. Claim 1-16, 18, 19, 35 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. These claims contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention:

Page 3

- 5. Independent claims 1 and 36 require that a central hub have "a first axially aligned dedicated passage way" and "a second axially aligned dedicated passageway". While the instant specification as filed does not use the exact term "axially align" to refer to any passageway, it is understood from the text and drawings that 23, 63 and 106 (of three separate embodiments) are axially aligned passageways. However, no hub is disclosed to have more than one axially aligned passageway. Requiring a hub to have two axially aligned passageways is considered to be new matter. Additionally, the specification explains that while the peripheral passages (22, 62) are associated with "unique and independent fluid pathway[s]" for "each processing chamber", the axially aligned passages (23, 63) constitute "a single fluid pathway... that communicates with all the expressing bags or chambers" (see page 10, lines 5-8). Thusly, the axial passageways are not dedicated passageways dedicated to communication with a particular chamber.
- 6. Claim 35 is considered to include new matter because the originally filed specification does not describe an "axially aligned dedicated passageway dedicated for fluid communication with a first chamber". Instead the axially aligned passageways (23,

Application/Control Number: 09/970,547

Art Unit: 1723

63 and 106) are not dedicated for communication with a particular chamber, but instead communicate with all expressor chambers.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claim 35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Juhasz et al. (US 3,503,326). Note: regarding claim preamble of claim 35 particularly, the statement of intended use "for a continuous flow centrifuge", it has being held that, "where a patentee defines a structurally complete invention in the claim body and uses the preamble only to state a purpose or intended use for the invention, the preamble is not a claim limitation" Rowe v. Dror, 42 USPQ2d 1550, 1553 (Fed. Cir. 1997). Note also regarding the statements of what passages are "for" and/or "dedicated for", "the manner or method in which such a machine is to be utilized is not germane to the issue of patentability of the machine itself" In re Casey, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967). Further regarding claim 35, Juhasz ('326) discloses an apparatus comprising a plurality of axially aligned processing chambers (10 and/or 13), each chamber including an axial opening (see col. 2, lines 41-45; Fig. 1) housing a central hub (6), wherein a respective central hub of a respective chamber includes at least one first axially aligned dedicated passage (7) dedicated for communication with a first chamber and at least one second passage (8) dedicated for communication with a second chamber.

Art Unit: 1723

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant points out that passage 8 of Juhasz ('326) is not axially aligned. However, passage 7 of Juhasz ('326) is axially aligned. As claim 35 requires only one axially aligned passage, the Juhasz ('326) anticipates claim 35.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David L. Sorkin whose telephone number is 571-272-1148. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 -5:30 Mon.-Fri..

Art Unit: 1723

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda L. Walker can be reached on 571-272-1151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David Sorkin

David L. Sorkin **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 1723

Page 6